UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/585,289	07/07/2006	Takeshi Nakamura	P/2850-144	2252	
Robert C Faber	7590 11/25/200	EXAMINER			
Ostrolenk Faber 1180 Avenue of	r Gerb and Soffen	THOMPSON, CAMIE S			
New York, NY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1794		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/25/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10/585,	289	NAKAMURA, TA	NAKAMURA, TAKESHI			
		Examin	er	Art Unit				
		Camie S	. Thompson	1794				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on t	he cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)[🔀	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on Amendment fi	led 9/9/09					
	-	☐ This action is						
′=	,	<del>_</del>		ers prosecution as to th	ne merits is			
٥/١	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dienoeiti	·	arraer Expanse o	(aay/e, 1000 0.2	,				
	Disposition of Claims							
•	4) Claim(s) 1-3,6 and 8-24 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· ·	Claim(s) <u>1-3,6 and 8-24</u> is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election	requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🗌 '	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	)∏ accepted or l	o) objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objectio	n to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	e correction is requ	ired if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority เ	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔯 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/10/09</u> .	- 10 <i>j</i>		nformal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/585,289 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's amendment and accompanying remarks filed September 9, 2009 are acknowledged.

- 2. Examiner acknowledges amended claims 1 and 12.
- 3. Examiner acknowledges cancelled claims 4-5 and 7.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-3, 6, 8-12 and 15-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kameda et al., U.S. Patent Number 5,939,216.

Kameda discloses a fiber reinforced ceramic matrix composite that exhibits increased initial matrix cracking strength, crack propagation resistance and fracture energy and improved interlaminar strength (see column 2, lines 43-52). The reference discloses that the composite comprises a matrix sintered body formed of a silicon carbide ceramics derived by reaction sintering as per instant claims 7 and 16 (see column 3, lines 16-26). Kameda discloses that the composite comprises a ceramic matrix and a fiber preform wherein the fiber preform comprises a plurality of fabric elements comprising organic fibers used as auxiliary fibers and at least one ceramic fiber selected from silicon carbide fibers, alumina fibers, silicon nitride fibers and carbon fibers as per instant claims 3, 6 and 21-24 (see column 4, lines 16-33 and column 7, lines

Application/Control Number: 10/585,289

Page 3

Art Unit: 1794

17-26). It is disclosed in column 7, lines 1-9 that the ceramic fibers can be doubled together or blended together as per instant claim 1. It is also disclosed in column 7 that the auxiliary fibers adherent to the ceramic fibers are converted to SiC matrix so that the matrix can be sufficiently formed also around the ceramic fibers as per the present claims. The reference discloses that the fibers bundled together are present in volume fraction of 10 to 40% by volume in the ceramic matrix as per instant claims 17-19. Column 4, lines 1-10 discloses that the ceramic matrix can be formed from a combination of silicone carbide, silicon nitride, alumina and zirconia as per instant claim 8. The reference discloses that there can be more than one ceramic fiber used in the fabric. It is disclosed in column 2, lines 43-65 that the fiber fabric is assembled at a predetermined volume fraction of fiber in the ceramic matrix. It is disclosed in column 8, lines 45-53 that the starting matrix is a slurry as per instant claim 15. The examples in the Kameda reference discloses that the fibers are exposed to high temperatures as per instant claim 12. Example 4 discloses a plate-like specimen cut out of the composite with the resulting composite being highly dense.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kameda et al., U.S. Patent Number 5,939,216 in view of JP 10-194856.

Kameda discloses a fiber reinforced ceramic matrix composite that exhibits increased initial matrix cracking strength, crack propagation resistance and fracture energy and improved interlaminar strength (see column 2, lines 43-52). The reference discloses that the composite comprises a matrix sintered body formed of a silicon carbide ceramics derived by reaction sintering as per instant claims 7 and 16 (see column 3, lines 16-26). Kameda discloses that the composite comprises a ceramic matrix and a fiber preform wherein the fiber preform comprises a plurality of fabric elements comprising organic fibers used as auxiliary fibers and at least one ceramic fiber selected from silicon carbide fibers, alumina fibers, silicon nitride fibers and carbon fibers as per instant claims 3-6 and 21-24 (see column 4, lines 16-33 and column 7, lines 17-26). It is disclosed in column 7, lines 1-9 that the ceramic fibers can be doubled together or blended together as per instant claim 1. It is also disclosed in column 7 that the auxiliary fibers adherent to the ceramic fibers are converted to SiC matrix so that the matrix can be sufficiently formed also around the ceramic fibers as per the present claims. The reference discloses that the fibers bundled together are present in volume fraction of 10 to 40% by volume in the ceramic matrix as per instant claims 17-19. Column 4, lines 1-10 discloses that the ceramic matrix can be formed from a combination of silicone carbide, silicon nitride, alumina and zirconia as per instant claim 8. The reference discloses that there can be more than one ceramic fiber used in the fabric. It is disclosed in column 2, lines 43-65 that the fiber fabric is assembled at a predetermined volume fraction of fiber in the ceramic matrix. The examples in the Kameda reference discloses that the fibers are exposed to high temperatures as per instant claim 12.

Art Unit: 1794

Example 4 discloses a plate-like specimen cut out of the composite with the resulting composite being highly dense. Kameda does not disclose that the matrix is formed by CVI methods. The Japanese reference discloses a ceramic composite comprising a combination of higher elasticity fibers, SiC, with fibers having low elasticity, SiCO, in a ceramic matrix (see abstract). Reference claim 2 of the Japanese reference discloses that the ceramic matrix is produced by CVI methods as per instant claims 13. The Japanese reference discloses that the composite has increased crack propagation resistance. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the ceramic matrix of the Kameda reference could be formed by CVI methods since the Japanese reference uses CVI methods on the same ceramic matrix in order to achieve increased crack propagation resistance.

8. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kameda et al., U.S. Patent Number 5,939,216 in view of Yamaguchi et al., U.S. Patent Number 6,723,382. Kameda discloses a fiber reinforced ceramic matrix composite that exhibits increased initial matrix cracking strength, crack propagation resistance and fracture energy and improved interlaminar strength (see column 2, lines 43-52). The reference discloses that the composite comprises a matrix sintered body formed of a silicon carbide ceramics derived by reaction sintering as per instant claims 7 and 16 (see column 3, lines 16-26). Kameda discloses that the composite comprises a ceramic matrix and a fiber preform wherein the fiber preform comprises a plurality of fabric elements comprising organic fibers used as auxiliary fibers and at least one ceramic fiber selected from silicon carbide fibers, alumina fibers, silicon nitride fibers and carbon fibers as per instant claims 3-6 and 21-24 (see column 4, lines 16-33 and column 7, lines

Application/Control Number: 10/585,289

Art Unit: 1794

17-26). It is disclosed in column 7, lines 1-9 that the ceramic fibers can be doubled together or blended together as per instant claim 1. It is also disclosed in column 7 that the auxiliary fibers adherent to the ceramic fibers are converted to SiC matrix so that the matrix can be sufficiently formed also around the ceramic fibers as per the present claims. The reference discloses that the fibers bundled together are present in volume fraction of 10 to 40% by volume in the ceramic matrix as per instant claims 17-19. Column 4, lines 1-10 discloses that the ceramic matrix can be formed from a combination of silicone carbide, silicon nitride, alumina and zirconia as per instant claim 8. The reference discloses that there can be more than one ceramic fiber used in the fabric. It is disclosed in column 2, lines 43-65 that the fiber fabric is assembled at a predetermined volume fraction of fiber in the ceramic matrix. The examples in the Kameda reference discloses that the fibers are exposed to high temperatures as per instant claim 12. Example 4 discloses a plate-like specimen cut out of the composite with the resulting composite being highly dense. Kameda does not disclose that the ceramic matrix is produced by the PIP method. Yamaguchi discloses a ceramic composite wherein the ceramic matrix is SiC with SiC fiber dispersed therein. Yamaguchi discloses a PIP treatment (see Yamaguchi reference claims). The PIOP treatment affects fiber impregnation. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have the ceramic matrix, SiC, of the Kameda reference formed by a

PIP method in order to increase the efficiency of fiber impregnation.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/585,289 Page 7

Art Unit: 1794

# Response to Argument

- 9. Applicant's arguments filed September 9, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the Kameda reference does not disclose or suggest the feature of the residual stress of a difference in the thermal elongation between the fiber bundles and the matrix phase is small when exposed to high temperatures. Kameda discloses that the matrix is comprised of SiC and the fiber bundles are made up of at least one of fibers selected from silicon carbide fibers, alumina fibers, silicon nitride fibers and carbon fibers. The phrase "at least one" includes more than 1 fiber and can be included as the main constitutional fiber and the auxiliary fiber. The present claims recite open language and can include organic fibers. Kameda comprises the same matrix as the present claims as well as the fibers required by the present claims. Kameda comprises the same composite as required by the present claims and it would be expected that the residual stress of a difference in the thermal elongation between the fiber bundles and the matrix is small when exposed to high temperatures. Additionally, applicant does not claim residual stress and applicant has not provided secondary considerations to show that the composite of the Kameda reference is different from the composite of the present invention. The rejections are maintained.
- 10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Art Unit: 1794

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Camie S. Thompson whose telephone number is 571-272-1530. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00 am - 6:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, D. Lawrence Tarazano can be reached on 571-272-1515. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/585,289 Page 9

Art Unit: 1794

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Camie S Thompson/

Examiner, Art Unit 1794

/D. Lawrence Tarazano/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794